

CBETA Halbach Magnets

Stephen Brooks

BROOKHAVEN
NATIONAL LABORATORY

a passion for discovery



Office of Science
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY




Cornell Laboratory for Accelerator-based Sciences and Education (CLASSE)



Magnet Types (5 cross-sections)




Magnet	Length (mm)	Aperture Radius (mm)	Dipole (T)	Gradient (T/m)
QF	133	43.1	0	-11.562
BD	122	40.1	-0.3081	11.148
BDT2	122	44.9	-0.2543	11.148
BDT1	122	49.1	-0.1002	11.148
QD	122	40.1	0	11.143
QFH	66.5	43.1	0	-11.567
BDH	61	40.1	-0.3084	11.154
First Girder QF	133.3	39.4	0	-11.562
First Girder BD	121.7	39.4	-0.3081	11.148

Figures of Merit

- Units FOM = $\sqrt{\text{sum squares (all nonlinear multipoles at max beam radius)}}$, 1 unit = 10^{-4}
- CBETA FOM = the above with different multipoles scaled per William Lou's simulation
 - 0.75 is acceptable with misalignments but perfect BPMs, so we aim substantially lower than this
- Max field error (in Gauss) on beam midplane
 - Not on a circle, so can have different emphasis
 - 10^{-3} of max quad component is 2.8 Gauss


A Real BD Magnet including Tuning Wires

The CBETA “First Girder”
consists of 4 BD magnets,
4 QF magnets and one
BDH magnet




First Girder Results


BD1 and BD2 required thicker 105mil wire, rest used 80mil



First Girder Results



First Girder Results




First Girder Results

- Example harmonics tables
- BD1 before/after
- Quad is normalised to 10000 units


Integrated quad (T)	BD1 before		BD1 after	
	Normal	Skew	Normal	Skew
	1.355455		1.358539	
Dipole	-11092.96	0.00	-11067.78	0.00
Quad	10000.00	0.00	10000.00	0.00
Sext	-21.17	3.49	1.74	0.80
Oct	-101.50	-9.81	-4.67	2.54
Deca	-0.35	-12.37	-2.00	0.03
Dodeca	2.90	5.08	1.89	1.03
14-pole	-0.37	-2.01	0.77	-1.01
16-pole	-0.38	-1.65	0.68	0.13
18-pole	-1.53	-0.36	-0.13	0.63
20-pole	0.12	-0.42	-0.11	0.16
22-pole	-0.40	-0.16	0.44	-0.55
24-pole	-0.20	-0.33	-0.11	0.12
26-pole	0.42	-0.02	0.05	0.14
28-pole	0.14	0.06	0.07	-0.06
30-pole	0.13	-0.04	-0.03	-0.07
32-pole	0.06	0.00	0.07	-0.04
34-pole	-0.09	0.01	0.07	0.01
36-pole	0.21	-0.02	0.02	-0.01
38-pole	0.02	0.03	0.00	-0.06
40-pole	0.01	0.00	0.00	-0.03

First girder being surveyed with Faro arm



Q. from 2017: Survey Accuracy

Errors in the first girder survey, all magnet fiducials




Generally consistent with +/- 200 micron uniform distribution assumed in simulations, baseline

Error	X (μm)	Y	Z	Distance
RMS	88	83	103	159
Min	-143	-209	-199	48
Max	266	67	217	309
Average	17	-62	-7	147


Q. from 2017: Reassembly Quality

- BNL magnet with loose pin went from 2.1 → 21.2 units error when reassembled (bad)
- We rebuilt the magnets with loose pinning
- Magnet with aluminium halves flush went from and 3.4 → 4.8 units error (good)



KYMA checks for no protruding blocks when manufacturing

Water Cooling Stability



QF3 (nice reassembly reassembled)

goal integrated field

-1.5279 (T/m).m

average run 17 (equilibrium)

min

max

midpoint

+/- relative

-1.53208 (T/m).m

-1.53236

-1.53179


-1.53207

1.87E-04

relative error (non-thermal wire iter 2) at 85F

0.274%



Production and Testing Flow




1. Helmholtz testing at AllStar, 100% of blocks but not temperature controlled
2. Temperature-controlled Helmholtz test of ~15% sample at BNL for verification
3. Remainder of blocks shipped directly to KYMA, who also re-test ~10% sample
4. Rotating coil measurement of bare magnet at BNL
5. Rotating coil measurement of tuned magnet at BNL

Helmholtz Measurements

Example: AllStar data for wedge types P1 through P16 (BD magnet)
Angle errors
Strength variation




Magnetisation Angle Distributions



Magnet Error Model

- Both the strength and angle error distribution can be put back into the field simulation



Example: BD magnet using AllStar's data set.

1000 magnets were generated, some with position errors. Histogram is binned by the "units FOM".

Green = easy to tune
Red = possible problems
Based on first girder experience.


BD First Articles from KYMA



BD First Articles from KYMA

- Measurements of bare magnets (last week):

Magnet type, identifier #	Units FOM	CBETA FOM	Midplane error (Gauss)	Gradient error at x=0
BD 2301	58.72	1.746	10.13	-0.091%
BD 2302	83.41	2.498	15.64	-0.065%



First two BD magnets are consistent with simulated distribution including expected position errors.

Tuning works in simulation, testing in practice this week.


Three more will be tested before full BD production run approved.

BD First Article Tuned

- Measurements of tuned magnet (yesterday):

BD magnet 2301	Units FOM	CBETA FOM	Midplane error (Gauss)	Gradient error at x=0
Bare	58.72	1.746	10.13	-0.091%
Tuned	6.58	0.151	1.28	0.057%

Integrated quad (T)	BD 2301 before		BD 2301 after	
	1.35876		1.360779	
	Normal	Skew	Normal	Skew
Dipole	-11065.97	0.00	-11049.56	0.00
Quad	10000.00	0.00	10000.00	0.00
Sext	-37.40	-11.18	2.45	-4.90
Oct	-38.55	-14.04	-1.36	-1.12
Deca	9.47	4.48	-2.72	0.17
Dodeca	8.55	6.50	1.14	0.48
14-pole	0.60	1.03	0.57	0.88
16-pole	-2.99	-1.23	0.25	0.22
18-pole	-1.39	0.11	0.00	0.06
20-pole	-0.46	0.38	0.01	-0.11
22-pole	-0.73	0.01	-0.13	-0.04
24-pole	0.11	0.02	-0.07	-0.09
26-pole	0.24	-0.07	0.01	0.02
28-pole	0.20	-0.01	0.03	0.06
30-pole	0.14	0.01	0.03	0.00
32-pole	-0.01	0.02	-0.04	0.02
34-pole	-0.08	-0.01	-0.05	0.02
36-pole	0.13	0.00	0.08	0.04
38-pole	0.01	0.01	0.06	0.02
40-pole	0.00	0.01	0.05	0.00



Conclusion / Next Steps

- We've tuned 9 magnets to suitable field quality (21 if you include FFAG line at ATF)
- Production magnets are coming in as expected
- Next, will test and tune first articles of the other types, then go to production
- Tuning wire holders are being 3D printed at Cornell
- Wire cutting machine will be used for wires